



# **PCT**

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 002415woMegn	FOR FURTHER ACTION	R ACTION SeeNotificationofTransmittalofInternational Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)					
International application No.	International filing date (day/r		Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/EP00/09241	21 September 2000 (2	1.09.00)	21 September 1999 (21.09.99)				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n C07K 14/705	ational classification and IPC						
Applicant	AFFINA IMMUNTECHI	NIK GMBH					
and is transmitted to the applicant ac	ccording to Article 36.		ational Preliminary Examining Authority				
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	8 sheets, including	ng this cover s	heet.				
amended and are the basis for	ied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets or this report and/or sheets contain Administrative Instructions und	ning rectifica	on, claims and/or drawings which have been tions made before this Authority (see Rule				
These annexes consist of a to	tal of sheets.						
3. This report contains indications rela	ting to the following items:						
I Basis of the report							
II Priority							
III Non-establishment o	of opinion with regard to novelt	y, inventive ste	p and industrial applicability				
IV Lack of unity of inv	ention						
V Reasoned statement citations and explan	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
VI Certain documents o	cited		·				
VII Certain defects in th	e international application						
VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand	Date o	Date of completion of this report					
01 March 2001 (01.03	3.01)	10 January 2002 (10.01.2002)					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Author	Authorized officer					
Facsimile No.	Teleph	one No.					

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (July 1998)

71

International application No.

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/EP00/09241

<b>I.</b> 1	Basis	of the re	port	
1.	With	regard to	the elements of the international application:*	
		the inter	rnational application as originally filed	
	茵	the desc		
		pages	1-17	, as originally filed
		pages	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	filed with the demand
		pages	, filed with the letter of	
	$\boxtimes$	the clair		
	کے	pages	1-12	, as originally filed
		pages	, as amended (together with any state	ment under Article 19
		pages	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	filed with the demand
		pages	, filed with the letter of	
		the drav	vings:	
		pages		, as originally filed
		pages	,	filed with the demand
		pages	, filed with the letter of	
	Μ.	h		
	<b>Ш</b>	•	nce listing part of the description:  1-6	as originally filed
		pages	1-6	
İ		pages pages	, filed with the letter of	Inca with the commit
3.	the ir These	the language the language the language the language or 55.3 regard minary ex	guage of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). guage of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). guage of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination).  to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international applicate examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:	which is: (under Rule 55.2 and/
	H		ned in the international application in written form.	
	$\bowtie$		gether with the international application in computer readable form.	
	$\bowtie$		ed subsequently to this Authority in written form.	
	X		ed subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  atement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond	the disclosure in the
			tional application as filed has been furnished.	me disclosure in the
	$\boxtimes$		atement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written irnished.	n sequence listing has
4.		The am	nendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
١			the description, pages	
			the claims, Nos.	
		_	the drawings. sheets/fig	
5.		This rep	port has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	been considered to go
*	in th	icement : is report 70.17).	sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Ari as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain am	ticle 14 are referred to endments (Rule 70.16
**		•	ent sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item I and annexed to this rep	ort.
1				

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP00/09241

IV	. Lac	ck of unity of invention		
1.	In res	sponse to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:		
		restricted the claims.		
		paid additional fees.		
		paid additional fees under protest.		
	$\boxtimes$	neither restricted nor paid additional fees.		
2.		This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.		
3.	This	Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is		
		complied with.		
		not complied with for the following reasons:		
ĺ				
4.	Consequently, the following parts of the international application were the subject of international preliminary examination in establishing this report:			
		all parts.		
		the parts relating to claims Nos		
1				

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/EP 00/09241

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: IV.

The following document is considered below:

D3 = ELIES R ET AL.: "STRUCTURAL AND FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS
OF THE B CELL EPITOPES RECOGNIZED BY ANTI-RECEPTOR
AUTOANTIBODIES IN PATIENTS WITH CHAGAS' DISEASE",
JORNAL OF IMMUNOLOGY (1996 NOV 1) 157(9) 4203-11,
XP002142657.

(The numbering of the document corresponds to its order in the sequence found in the international search report.)

PCT Rule 13.1 states that a common inventive idea must be present to satisfy the criterion of unity of invention.

In the context of the present patent application, peptides are produced that bind to autoantibodies that cause DCM.

The present application indicates that corresponding peptides are already known from prior art; however, in contrast with the present invention, those peptides, coupled to a solid phase, are not capable of binding and eliminating the corresponding autoantibodies from the blood plasma of a patient.

However, document D3 describes peptides that are similar to epitopes of the ß1-adrenoceptor, of the ß2-adrenoceptor, and of M2-acetylcholine as well as affinity purification of the corresponding autoantibodies from patient serum made possible by these peptides. In

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/EP 00/09241

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: IV.

particular, a peptide of the ß1-adrenoceptor (HWWRAESDEARRCYNDPKCCDFVTNR) was successfully used therein that differs only minimally from one of the two peptides (HWWRAESDEARRSYNDPKC) used in the present application.

Since no additional "special technical feature" (PCT Rule 13.2) could be found, unity of invention is lacking. The peptides described in the present patent application must accordingly be considered to be different inventions.

Furthermore, in the context of the present patent application, trials with only two peptides (TGSFFCELWTSGKK and HWWRAESDEARRSYNDPKC) are described; however, the present claims comprise peptides that are not necessarily derived therefrom and potentially are entirely different, variations of individual amino acid positions going far beyond conservative amino acid exchange. Hence, an effect according to the invention is entirely doubtful for the majority of the peptides falling under Claim 1 and is not supported by corresponding examples; on the other hand, the wealth of possible combinations does not make meaningful examination possible. The examiner is also concerned that, owing to the closeness of the prior art (D3), every variation of the peptides of the application would have to be considered as an independent invention.

Accordingly, the following inventions can be identified in the present application:



International application No. PCT/EP 00/09241

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: IV.

I) a peptide with the sequence TGSFFCELWTSGKK

II) a peptide with the sequence HWWRAESDEARRSYNDPKC.

Correspondingly, the claims of this application can be divided into the following groups:

- 1) Claims 1, 5-12 (exclusively) related to a peptide with the sequence TGSFFCELWTSGKK;
- 2) Claims 1-12 (exclusively) related to a peptide with the sequence HWWRAESDEARRSYNDPKC.

In response to the request for limitation or for payment of additional fees, the applicants desire examination of the subject matter identified as invention I. The present report thus covers Claims 1 and 5-12 exclusively with respect to a peptide with the amino acid sequence TGSFFCELWTSGKK.

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT PCT/EP 00/09241

Reasoned statement under Article 3 citations and explanations supporting	5(2) with regard to novelty ag such statement	, inventive step or industrial applic	ability;
Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1, 5-12	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1, 5-12	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1, 5-12	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

#### Novelty under PCT Article 33(2)

In the prior art (e.g., document D3), peptides have 2) already been identified that have similar technical properties (e.g., the possibility of binding and, consequently, removing autoantibodies), such as the peptide of the application with sequence TGSFFCELWTSGKK. However, since no peptide with the same sequence is described in the prior art, novelty can be acknowledged for Claims 1 and 5-12 to the extent these claims refer to a peptide with the sequence TGSFFCELWTSGKK.

### Inventive step under PCT Article 33(3)

The present peptide represents an alternative to the 3) peptides already described in the prior art (D3). When searching for such alternatives, a person skilled in the art would produce additional peptides of the ß1-adrenoceptor according to the knowledge in document D3 and examine this for its suitability for binding autoantibodies.

Although production of such peptides and their



International application No. PCT/EP 00/09241

corresponding examination requires a certain degree of effort, it does not exceed the standard methodology available to a person skilled in the art. In the absence of an unexpected effect, which differentiates the present peptide from similar peptides such as are described in D3, an inventive step cannot be recognized for the production of same. Hence, Claims 1 and 5-12 do not correspond to PCT Article 33(3).